

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDREW FULLMAN,  
Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, POLICE  
COMMISSIONER DANIELLE OUTLAW,  
CHARLES KING, SGT. CUNNINGHAM,  
MICHAEL GORMLEY, LAWRENCE  
SAMUEL KRASNER, and JOHN DOE,  
Defendants.

NO. 22-4282

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 17th day of October, 2023, upon consideration of Defendants' Motions to Dismiss Plaintiff Andrew Fullman's Amended Complaint (ECF Nos. 45 and 46) and all responses thereto (ECF No. 58), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motions are **GRANTED** and Fullman's Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Defendant referred to in the caption as "John Doe" is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, Fullman's Motion for Reconsideration regarding his Motion for Discovery (ECF No. 64) is **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

The Clerk of Court shall **TERMINATE** this matter and mark it **CLOSED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Wendy Beetlestone, J.

---

**WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.**

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Hallett v. Doe*, Nov. 5, 2019, 2019 WL 5722005 (E.D.Pa. 2019)